

#### **PAPER • OPEN ACCESS**

# Prospects of introducing alternative sources of energy on Ukrainian railways

To cite this article: V V Panchenko et al 2021 IOP Conf. Ser.: Mater. Sci. Eng. 1021 012008

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

#### You may also like

- Examination of the entry to burn and burn control for the ITER 15 MA baseline and hybrid scenarios C.E. Kessel, F. Koechl and S.H. Kim
- Robust nonlinear burn control in ITER to handle uncertainties in the fuel-line
- concentrations Andres Pajares and Eugenio Schuster
- Perspectives for the liquid lithium and tin targets in the Italian Divertor Test Tokamak (I-DTT) divertor
   V. Pericoli Ridolfini, R. Ambrosino, P. Chmielewski et al.



This content was downloaded from IP address 80.73.14.137 on 26/11/2024 at 15:38

#### 1021 (2021) 012008

## Prospects of introducing alternative sources of energy on **Ukrainian railways**

### V V Panchenko<sup>1,2</sup>, A S Masliy<sup>1</sup> and R O Kharin<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Electric Power Engineering, Electrical Engineering and Electromechanics Ukrainian State University of Railway Transport, Feyerbakh Square 7, 61050, Kharkiv, Ukraine

<sup>2</sup> Email: vlad panchenko@ukr.net

Abstract: The potential of solar and wind energy was investigated, the existing premises of using the electric power obtained from non-conventional power sources of non-traction consumers for power supply of an electric traction system were analyzed. The influence of solar generation sources connected with the traction substation tires on the stability of nontraction consumers was determined. Possible options of connecting renewable energy sources to the railway power systems were examined. Calculations for determining the number of solar panels for compensating the auxiliary power consumption of the traction substation were made. The modeling of the possibility of implementing alternative energy sources in a traction substation in the PVsyst program was carried out. In Ukraine, the change of conditions for the provision of electricity supply services was caused by the rapid growth and development of renewable energy sources, in connection with which the number of suppliers increased. The question about the possibility of using alternative electricity sources to compensate the auxiliary power consumption of traction substations arises. Therefore, it is relevant to solve the problem of joint work of auxiliary power consumers together with non-traditional energy sources. The auxiliary power consumption of the traction substation include: heating drives and tanks of transformers; cooling down trip coils, relays, control panels, alarm systems, alarm and centralization and blocking devices; heating substation premises, store rooms and shower room. In order to implement alternative energy on the railway, it is necessary to analyze the auxiliary power consumption of the traction substation; to analyze the possibility of placing alternative power sources on the traction substation premises; to investigate how the powering system of the auxiliary power consumption of the traction substation can operate (both directly and in parallel mode).

#### 1. Introduction.

In Ukraine, the amount of electricity obtained from non-traditional sources is growing. At the same time, alternative sources of electricity are developing rapidly, the number of suppliers is increasing and the conditions for providing electricity supply services are changing [1]. This process is conditioned by the difficult environmental situation, environment protection procedures and the complexities of energy policy in the world. Over the past few years, Ukraine has made significant progress in the development of alternative energy sources, in particular solar and wind power plants. However, the introduction of innovative energy-saving technologies using alternative renewable energy sources is still at the initial stage in our country. Today, the Ukrainian railways almost exclusively use traditional power supplies in their power supply systems. Yet, the rapid development of alternative energy is pushing for the modernization of railway power supply systems through the



introduction of non-conventional power sources [2]. We believe that the introduction of solar and wind energy sources is the most acceptable solution to the issue. The main directions for introducing alternative energy on the railway are as follows:

- power supply of traction power supply systems from the external power system, in which, alternative sources of energy operate along with traditional ones;
- power supply to compensate power auxiliary consumption of a traction substation, objects of infrastructure of non-traction consumers both separately, and in a parallel mode of work [3].

It is especially important in the regions where the external electricity supply of railways is unstable, so the use of independent sources of electricity is crucial to ensure the traction and meet the needs of non-traction railway consumers [4]. Nowadays two documents regulate the requirements for connecting solar and wind power plants to industrial power grids in Ukraine:

- requirements for wind and solar photovoltaic power plants for connection to external electrical networks;
- connection of wind energy facilities to electrical networks. Procedure and requirements. The document [5] defines the basic requirements for wind and solar photovoltaic power plants (i.e. for power plants that use static electronic energy converters) with a capacity of 150 kW for connection to public grid.

Connection to electrical networks of other types of renewable sources with synchronous generators that are directly connected to electrical networks is regulated by other normative documents in force in Ukraine.

#### 2. Analysis of the recent researches and publications.

Researching the possibility of introducing alternative sources of energy to compensate power auxiliary consumption of traction substations is topical and promising. Over the past years a number of experimental researches have been conducted on introducing alternative energy sources on Ukrainian railways. The analysis of the recent researches and publications, which initiated the solution of this problem, showed that such scientists as M. Pastushenko, O. Polyakh, V. Sychenko, O. Bondar, Y. Honcharov, E. Kosarev, M. Prykhoda, T. Reshetnyak and others paid their attention to various aspects of renewable energy sources. The following tasks have been solved:

- analysis of the solar generation impact on the operation of traction substations on electrified railways;
- prospects for the introduction of renewable energy sources on the railway transport of Ukraine;
- the possibility of using alternative sources of energy to compensate power auxiliary consumption of traction substations, etc.

On the territory of Ukraine the conditions for the provision of electricity supply services have been changed, which caused a rapid increase and development of renewable energy sources. Therefore, it is necessary to solve the problem of joint work of auxiliary consumers with non-traditional energy sources.

#### **3.** Defining the aim and objectives of the study.

The aim is to study the possibility of introducing alternative energy sources into the power supply network of electrified railways by equipping a DC traction substation No. 18 in Zmiiv, Kharkiv region with a solar power plant to compensate its power auxiliary consumption.

To study the possibility of introducing alternative energy sources on the railway the following tasks should be solved:

- to analyze power auxiliary consumption of the traction substation;
- to analyze the possibility of locating alternative power sources on the premises of the traction substation;
- to investigate the operation modes of the power supply system to compensate power auxiliary consumption of the traction substation (both directly and in a parallel mode).

International Scientific Conference Energy Efficiency in	Transport (EET 2020)	IOP Publishing
IOP Conf. Series: Materials Science and Engineering	1021 (2021) 012008	doi:10.1088/1757-899X/1021/1/012008

#### 4. Presenting the main material.

The object of the study was a DC traction substation No. 18, Zmiiv, Kharkiv region, its auxiliary power consumption is 27,513 kWh in the period from March to May. For the spring period, the average auxiliary power consumption is 9171 kWh per month, i.e. 299.05 kWh (12.46 kWh) per day.

Let's calculate the number of solar panels to provide power for auxiliary power consumption. It is necessary to determine the type of solar panel to perform the calculation. Jinko Solar JKM305M-60 Eagle 305 W solar panels cost not much, but are of high efficiency.

The total power of the solar battery consists of the output power of individual photovoltaic modules. The output current of the solar photovoltaic modules is determined by the number of elements connected in parallel, and the output voltage – by the number of elements connected in series. Having the nominal power of the photovoltaic station and the power of one photo module, we determine the required number of photo modules:

$$N^{c\delta} = \frac{P_{nom}}{P_1^{pm}} \tag{1}$$

where  $P_{nom}$  is nominal power of the photovoltaic station [W]

 $P_1^{pm}$  is nominal power of a photo module [W]

$$N^{pm} = \frac{15000}{305} = 49 \,\mathrm{pcs.}$$

Let us recalculate the total number of photo modules, taking into account the way they are connected to the inverter. The number of modules connected in series:

$$N_{ser}^{pm} = \frac{U_{inv}}{U_{max}^{pm}},\tag{2}$$

where  $U_{inv}$  is the output voltage of the inverter [V]

 $U_{\rm max}^{pm}$  is the voltage of a photovoltaic module [V]

$$N_{ser}^{pm} = \frac{230}{22,8} \approx 10 \,\mathrm{pcs}.$$

the power of the batteries connected in series:

$$P_{ser}^{pm} = N_{ser}^{pm} \cdot P_1^{pm} , \qquad (3)$$

$$P_{ser}^{pm} = 10 \cdot 305 = 3050 \,\mathrm{W}$$

the number of photovoltaic modules connected in parallel:

$$N_{par}^{pm} = \frac{P_{max}^{sys}}{P_{ser}^{pm}},\tag{4}$$

where  $P_{\text{max}}^{\text{sys}}$  is the power of the settlement system;

$$N_{par}^{pm} = \frac{12,46}{3,13} = 4 \text{ pcs.}$$

the total number of photovoltaic modules in the system:

IOP Publishing

doi:10.1088/1757-899X/1021/1/012008

$$N^{pv} = N^{pm}_{par} \cdot N^{pm}_{ser},$$

$$N^{c\delta} = 10 \cdot 4 = 40 \text{ ncs}$$
(5)

the total number of photovoltaic modules:

$$S_{pvm} = S_1 \cdot N^{pm}$$
, (6)  
 $S_{pvm} = 1,63 \cdot 40 = 65, 2 \text{ m}^2$ 

The total area of the roof of the traction substation No. 18, Zmiiv is  $378 \text{ m}^2$ , i.e. the area required for solar-battery cells will occupy 17.24% of the total roof area [6].

1021 (2021) 012008

Let's determine the number of solar panels, their location and model a solar power plant to compensate the auxiliary power consumption of the traction substation using the software package PVsyst.

Let's have a look at the traction substation No. 18, Zmiiv of the Regional Branch «Pivdenna Zaliznytsia» of Ukrzaliznytsia JSC (Figure 1).



Figure 1. Traction substation No. 18, Zmiiv.

The initial data of the selected solar panels, inverters, traction substation were entered into the PVsyst program (Figure 2). We selected solar panels of Jinko Solar JKM305M-60 Eagle 305 [7] W brand. They are modern single-crystal solar batteries that:

- cost not much and are of high efficiency;
- withstands harsh operating conditions, high salt spray performance and ammonia resistance;
- uses the latest technology to increase the efficiency of the module, which makes it ideal for roof installation;
- minimizes the possibility of rupture of conductive track network.

Solar panels work only when there is some sunlight. Dynamic current significantly decreases in the cloudy weather or in the darkness. To compensate this effect, the battery must accumulate the electricity produced by these modules during the day [8]. There are different types of batteries. Therefore, rechargeable batteries for photovoltaic systems must meet certain requirements:

- low level of self-discharge;
- ability to work in modes;
- deep discharge;

1021 (2021) 012008

doi:10.1088/1757-899X/1021/1/012008

- operate with small charge currents;
- operate at below-freezing temperature (for year-round systems);
- minimum service requirements [9].

Global System configuration	Global system summary	
1 - Number of kinds of sub-arrays	Nb. of modules 40	Nominal PV Power 12.2 kWp
	Module area 65 m²	Maximum PV Power 11.3 kWdc
? Simplified Schema	Nb. of inverters 4	Nominal AC Power 11.4 kWac
PV Array       Sub-array name and Orientation       Name     PV Array       Orient.     Fixed Tilted Plane       Azimuth     -40°	Presizing Help       C No sizing     Enter plann       ?     Resize     or available area	red power C 12.8 kWp (modules) © 69 m <sup>2</sup>
Select the PV module		
Available Now   Filter All PV modules	Maxin	num nb. of modules 42
Jinkosolar 🔹 305 Wp 28V Si-mono JKM 305	1-60-V Since 2017	Manufacturer 2017 🗾 🔠 Open
Sizing voltages : Vmpp (60°C) Use Optimizer Voc (-10°C)	27.6 V 43.6 V	
Select the inverter		✓ 50 Hz
Available Now  Output voltage 230 V Mono 50Hz		🔽 60 Hz
Ainelec  2.9 kW 130 - 350 V TL 50 Hz	Ainel K3	Since 2009 💽 🛅 Open
Nb. of inverters 4 Operating Voltage: Input maximum voltage:	130-350 V         Global Inverter's pov           450 V	wer 11.4 kWac
Design the array		
Number of modules and strings     Op       ?     ?       Wm     Ym       Mod. in series     10           between 5 and 10     Voo	rating conditions p (60°C) 276 V p (20°C) 328 V (-10°C) 436 V	
Nbre strings 4 only possibility 4 Plan	irradiance 1000 W/m²	C Max. in data 💿 STC
Overload loss 0.0 % Impr Pnom ratio 1.07 Impr	(STC) 38.2 A Max. STC) 40.5 A at	operating power <b>11.1</b> kW 1000 W/m <sup>2</sup> and 50°C)
Nb. modules         40         Area         65 m <sup>2</sup> Isc (	t STC) 40.5 A Arra	y nom. Power (STC) 12.2 kWp

Figure 2. Initial data of a solar substation.

We calculated the number of solar panels and determined their location with the maximum efficiency in real operating conditions (Figure 3). Solar panels are located in 4 rows of 10 photo modules each with a total capacity of 12.20 kW and occupy a total area of 65  $m^2$ .

We chose an Ainelec K3 130-350V/50Hz inverter with a maximum efficiency of 98%.

The solar battery tilt is  $33^{\circ}$  (the optimal tilt for the eastern region of Ukraine and the location of the substation) and the azimuth is  $40^{\circ}$ . Such parameters guarantee the highest "potential" indicator of energy production [10].

IOP Publishing

#### doi:10.1088/1757-899X/1021/1/012008

PVSYST V6.86				20/02/20	Page 1/
Grid-Co	onnected Systen	n: Simulatior	n parameters		
Project : Zmiyiv					
Geographical Site	Zmiviv		Country	/ Ukraine	
Character .	t a thurd a	40 070 11	1	20.001.0	
Time defined as	Latitude	49.67 N Time zone UT+2	Altitude	a 85 m	
This defined by	Albedo	0.20	, receipt		
Meteo data:	Zmiyiv	NASA-SSE sate	llite data 1983-20	05 - Synthet	ic
Simulation variant : Tractio	n substation Nº18 Z	miyiv		199	
	Simulation date	20/02/20 14h56	KI	1	
Simulation parameters	System type	Building system	n		
Collector Plane Orientation	Tilt	33"	Azimuti	n -40°	
Models used	Transposition	Perez	Diffus	e Perez, M	eteonorm
Horizon	Free Horizon				
Near Shadings	Linear shadings				
User's needs :	Unlimited load (grid)				
BY A State of the			1000		10
PV Array Characteristics	Si-mono Model	IKM 305M-60-V			
Original PVsyst database	Manufacturer	Jinkosolar			
Number of PV modules	In series	10 modules	in paralle	4 strings	
Total number of PV modules	Nb. modules	40	Unit Nom. Powe	r 305 Wp	
Array global power	Nominal (STC)	12.20 kWp	At operating cond	. 11.06 kW	p (50°C)
Array operating characteristics (50°C	C) U mpp	289 V	l mpp	38 A	
Total area	Module area	65.5 m²	Cell area	a 57.0 m²	
Inverter	Model	Ainel K3			
Original PVsyst database	Manufacturer	Ainelec			
Characteristics	Operating Voltage	130-350 V	Unit Nom. Powe	r 2.85 kW	ас
Inverter pack	Nb. of inverters	4 units	Total Powe Pnom ratio	r 11.4 kW	вс
121/0	N / Cont	- 11		28 (1999) 	-
PV Array loss factors					
Thermal Loss factor	Uc (const)	20.0 W/m <sup>2</sup> K	Uv (wind	) 0.0 W/m	<sup>2</sup> K / m/s
Wiring Ohmic Loss	Global array res.	127 mOhm	Loss Fraction	n 1.5 % at	STC
Module Quality Loss			Loss Fraction	n -0.8 %	
Module Mismatch Losses			Loss Fraction	n 1.0 % at	MPP
Strings Mismatch loss	2.20		Loss Fraction	n 0.10 %	
Incidence offect ACUDAE extension					

Figure 3. Characteristics of the substation modeling.

The calculations show that this solar substation completely covers the value of the auxiliary power consumption of the design traction substation. The auxiliary power consumption of the traction substation is 11.08 kW, the solar substation will generate 12.20 kW, which completely covers the electricity consumption.

The location of solar panels on the roof of the traction substation is illustrated by the 3D model in PVsyst program (Figure 4). The model of the traction substation is as close as possible to reality. The solar panels are located on the roof of the traction substation with a tilt of 33° and are directed to the east to maximize energy production.

IOP Conf. Series: Materials Science and Engineering 1021 (2021) 012008

doi:10.1088/1757-899X/1021/1/012008



Figure 4. 3D model of the traction substation withy solar panels installed.

The efficiency of the solar substation for the calendar year is illustrated by figure 5, where the total energy obtained from alternative sources is 13.92 MW per year. The performance ratio of the solar substation is 84.57%. The average indicator of alternative energy obtained is 3.13 kW per day, the average loss of alternative energy is 0.57 kW per day.

1021 (2021) 012008

IOP Publishing

doi:10.1088/1757-899X/1021/1/012008

Grid-Connected System	m: Main r / ding system 305M-60-V I K3 2 MWh/year 7 %
Ject :       Zmiyiv         ulation variant :       Traction substation Ne18 Zmiyiv         in system parameters       System type       Buildings         Field Orientation       Linear shadings         Field Orientation       Model       JKM 3         Array       Nb. of modules       40         Array       Nb. of modules       40         Array       Nb. of units       4.0         Ys needs       Unlimited load (grid)       13.92         Performance Ratio PR       84.57         mailtand productions (per installed kWp):       Nominal power 12.20 kWp       4         In simulation results       10.024 kWn/Wybulky       4         In simulation (per installed kWp):       Nominal power 12.20 kWp       4         In simulation (per installed kWp):       In simulation for gradies       10.024 kWn/Wybulky       4         In simulation (per installed kWp):       In simulation for gradies       10.024 kWn/Wybulky       4         In simulation (per installed kWp):       In sim while	ding system 305M-60-V 1 K3 2 MWh/year 7 %
ulation variant :       Traction substation №18 Zmiyiv         n system parameters       System type       Buildi         r Shadings       Linear shadings         Field Orientation       tilt       33°         modules       Model       JKM 3         Array       Nb. of modules       40         Array       Nb. of modules       40         Array       Nb. of units       4.0         rise needs       Unlimited load (grid)       13.92         Performance Ratio PR       84.57         mailtand productions (per installed kWp):       Nominal power 12.20 kWp         Image: State (per installed kWp):       0.38 kWh/Wybring       3         Image: State (per installed kWp):       0.38 kWh/Wybring <th>/ ding system 305M-60-V I K3 2 MWh/year 7 %</th>	/ ding system 305M-60-V I K3 2 MWh/year 7 %
a system parameters       System type       Buildi         r Shadings       Linear shadings         Field Orientation       till       33°         modules       Model       JKM 3         Array       Nb. of modules       40         Array       Nb. of modules       40         Array       Nb. of modules       40         Array       Nb. of units       4.0         rter       Nb. of units       4.0         rter pack       Nb. of units       4.0         r's needs       Unlimited load (grid)       13.92         Performance Ratio PR       84.57         mailzed productions (per installed kWp):       Nominal power 12.20 kWp       4         Image: System Law (Priversy transport       13.84/Wn/Whytag       4	ding system 305M-60-V I K3 2 MWh/year 7 %
a system parameters     System type     Buildings       r Shadings     Linear shadings       Field Orientation     till     33°       modules     Model     JKM 3       Array     Nb. of modules     40       Array     Nb. of units     4.0       ris needs     Unlimited load (grid)     4.0       ris simulation results     Produced Energy     13.92       Performance Ratio PR     84.57	305M-60-V I K3 2 MWh/year 7 %
r Shadings Linear shadings Tield Orientation till 33* Model JKM 3 No. of modules 40 Nb. of modules 40 Nb. of modules 40 No. of units 4.0 Unlimited load (grid) rs needs Produced Energy 13.92 Performance Ratio PR 84.57 National productions (per installed KWp): Nominal power 12.20 KWp. Class WV/Wy/Wp 4.10 WV/W/Wy/Wp 4.10 WV/Wy/Wp 4.10 WV/Wy	305M-60-V I K3 2 MWh/year 7 %
The field Orientation tillt 33° Model JKM 3 Array No. of modules 40 ter Model Ainel ter pack No. of units 4.0 Insimulation results em Production Produced Energy 13.92 Performance Ratio PR 84.57 All KW/KW/KWy KWy Class KW/KW/KWy KWy Class KW/KW/KWy KWy Class KW/KW/KWy KWy Class KW/KWy KWy Class KW/KWy Class KW/KWy KWy Class KW/KWy Class KW/KWy KWy Class KW/KWY Class KWY Class KW/KWY Class KWY Class KW/KWY Class KWY Class KW/KWY Class KW/KWY Class KWY Class KWY Cla	305M-60-V I K3 2 MWh/year 7 %
Andules Model JKM 3 Nrray Nb. of modules 40 Nb. of modules 40 Model Ainel Model Ainel Nb. of units 4.0 Unlimited load (grid) Insimulation results em Produced Energy 13.92 Performance Ratio PR 84.57 Produced Energy 84.57 Data WWWWWybay 1.13 WWWWWY 1.13 WWWWWY 1.13 WWWWWY 1.13 WWWWWY 1.13 WWWWWY 1.13 WWWWWY 1.13 WWWWWY 1.13 WWWWWY 1.13 WWWWWWWWW 1.13 WWWWWWWWW 1.13 WWWWWWWWWW 1.13 WWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWW	305M-60-V I K3 2 MWh/year 7 %
The production of the producti	1 K3 2 MWh/year 7 %
ter pack s needs Nb. of units 4.0 Unlimited load (grid)  simulation results em Produced Energy Performance Ratio PR 84.57  alized productions (per installed kWp): Nominal power 12.20 kWp  to : Colector Loss (PV-erry Reset)	2 MWh/year 7 %
s needs Unlimited load (grid)  simulation results em Production Produced Energy Performance Ratio PR 84.57  tailized productions (per installed kWp): Nominal power 12.20 kWp  tai: System Loss (PV-erry teams) tai: System Loss (PV-er	2 MWh/year 7 %
simulation results rm Production Produced Energy 13.92 Performance Ratio PR 84.57 alized productions (per installed kWp): Nominal power 12.20 kWp La: System Loss (PV-erray tases) 0.38 kWh/kWp/tay La: Syste	2 MWh/year 7 %
Produced Energy Performance Ratio PR 84.57	2 MWh/year 7 %
Performance Ratio PR 84.57	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
alized productions (per installed kWp): Nominal power 12.20 kWp	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
Le : Galection Loss (PV-erray taxes) Le : Galection Loss (PV-erray taxes) Le : Galection Loss (PV-erray taxes) 1.38 s/V1/4Wp/tay 3.13 s/V1/4Wp/tay	1.0 Per 0.0
Lis System Loss (Inverter,)     Lis System Loss (Inverter,)     Lis System Loss (Inverter,)     Lis WithWhyDatay     Lis System Loss (Inverter Catagat)     Lis System Loss     Tr. Produced usefue energy (Inverter Catagat)     Lis System Loss     Tr. Produced usefue energy (Inverter Catagat)     Lis System Loss     Tr. Produced usefue energy (Inverter Catagat)     Lis System Loss     Tr. Produced usefue energy (Inverter Catagat)     Lis System Loss     Tr. Produced usefue energy (Inverter Catagat)     Lis System Loss     Tr. Produced usefue energy (Inverter Catagat)     Lis System Loss     Tr. Produced usefue energy (Inverter Catagat)     Lis System Loss     Tr. Produced usefue energy (Inverter Catagat)     Lis System Loss     Tr. Produced usefue energy (Inverter Catagat)     Lis System Loss     Tr. Produced usefue energy (Inverter Catagat)     Lis System Loss     Tr. Produced usefue energy (Inverter Catagat)     Lis System Loss     Tr. Produced usefue energy (Inverter Catagat)     Lis System Loss     Tr. Produced usefue energy (Inverter Catagat)     Lis System Loss     Tr. Produced usefue energy (Inverter Catagat)     Lis System Loss     Tr. Produced usefue energy (Inverter Catagat)     Lis System Loss     Tr. Produced usefue energy (Inverter Catagat)     Lis System Loss     Tr. Produced usefue energy (Inverter Catagat)     Lis System Loss     Tr. Produced usefue energy (Inverter Catagat)     Lis System Loss     Tr. Produced usefue energy (Inverter Catagat)     Lis System Loss     Tr. Produced usefue energy (Inverter Catagat)     Lis System Loss     Tr. Produced usefue energy (Inverter Catagat)     Lis System Loss     Tr. Produced usefue energy (Inverter Catagat)     Lis System Loss     Tr. Produced usefue energy (Inverter Catagat)     Lis System Loss     Tr. Produced usefue energy (Inverter Catagat)     Lis System Loss     Tr. Produced usefue energy (Inverter Catagat)     Lis System Loss     Tr. Produced usefue energy (Inverter Catagat)     Lis System Loss     Tr. Produced usefue energy (Inver	4.6 4.8 4.7 4.6 4.4 4.1 4.2
	4.8 4.7 4.6 4.5 4.4 4.3 4.2
	47 46 45 44 43
	46 45 44 43 43
	45 44 43
	15 14 11 12
	8.4 8.3 8.2
	6.3 9.2
	42
	0.2
	4.1
	4.0
Balances and main i	results
GlobHor DiffHor T_Amb GlobInc	
kWh/m² kWh/m² °C kWh/m²	GlobEff
Allow and the set	GlobEff kWh/m²
January 36.9 22.32 -5.24 57.5	GlobEff KW/t/m² 54.3
January         36.9         22.32         -5.24         57.5           February         56.8         30.52         -4.86         80.4	GlobEff kWh/m* 54.3 76.6
January         36.9         22.32         -5.24         57.5           February         56.8         30.52         -4.86         80.4           March         94.6         50.22         0.72         115.1           Long         113.5         113.5         113.5         113.5	GiobEff kWh/m <sup>2</sup> 54.3 76.6 110.4
January         36.9         22.32         -5.24         57.5           February         56.8         30.52         -4.86         80.4           March         94.6         50.22         0.72         115.1           April         117.6         63.30         9.83         125.9           May         166.8         27.56         16.58         125.9	GlobEff Wh/m <sup>2</sup> 54.3 76.6 110.4 120.9 154.4
January         36.9         22.32         -5.24         57.5           February         56.8         30.52         -4.86         80.4           March         94.6         50.22         0.72         115.1           April         117.6         63.30         9.83         125.9           May         166.8         77.50         16.58         171.2           June         153.8         90.70         10.51         165.4	GlobEff KWh/m <sup>3</sup> 54.3 76.6 110.4 120.9 164.4 153.7
January         36.9         22.32         -5.24         57.5           February         56.8         30.52         -4.86         80.4           March         94.6         50.22         0.72         115.1           April         117.6         63.30         9.83         125.9           May         166.8         77.50         16.58         171.2           June         163.8         80.70         20.21         160.4           July         172.4         79.08         22.27.1         174.1	GlobEff KWh/m <sup>3</sup> 54.3 76.6 110.4 120.9 164.4 153.7 167.1
January         36.9         22.32         -5.24         57.5           Pebruary         56.8         30.52         -4.86         80.4           March         94.6         50.22         0.72         115.1           April         117.6         63.30         9.83         125.9           May         166.8         77.50         16.58         171.2           June         163.8         80.70         20.21         160.4           July         172.4         79.08         22.71         174.1           August         151.3         69.13         22.21.3         162.9	GlobEff WWh/m <sup>3</sup> 54.3 76.6 110.4 120.9 164.4 153.7 167.1 156.7
January         36.9         22.32         -5.24         57.5           Pebruary         56.8         30.52         -4.86         80.4           March         94.6         50.22         0.72         115.1           April         117.6         63.30         9.83         125.9           May         166.8         77.50         16.58         171.2           June         163.8         80.70         20.21         160.4           July         172.4         79.98         22.71         174.1           August         151.3         69.13         22.13         162.9           September         104.7         51.60         16.21         119.7	GlobEff WWh/m <sup>3</sup> 54.3 76.6 110.4 120.9 164.4 153.7 167.1 156.7 114.9
January         36.9         22.32         -5.24         57.5           Pebruary         56.8         30.52         -4.86         80.4           March         94.6         50.22         0.72         115.1           April         117.6         63.30         9.83         125.9           May         166.8         77.50         16.58         171.2           June         163.8         80.70         20.21         160.4           July         172.4         79.98         22.71         174.1           August         151.3         69.13         22.13         162.9           September         104.7         51.60         16.21         119.7	GlobEff WWh/m <sup>3</sup> 54.3 76.6 110.4 120.9 164.4 153.7 167.1 156.7 114.9 80.6
January         36.9         22.32         -5.24         57.5           February         56.8         30.52         -4.86         80.4           March         94.6         50.22         0.72         115.1           April         117.6         63.30         9.83         125.9           May         166.8         77.50         16.58         171.2           June         163.8         80.70         20.21         160.4           July         172.4         79.98         22.71         174.1           August         151.3         69.13         22.13         162.9           September         104.7         51.66         16.21         119.7           October         65.1         35.03         9.06         84.5           November         35.7         22.20         0.55         53.2	GlobEff WWh/m <sup>3</sup> 54.3 76.6 110.4 120.9 164.4 153.7 167.1 167.1 156.7 114.9 80.6 50.3
January         36.9         22.32         -5.24         57.5           February         56.8         30.52         -4.86         80.4           March         94.6         50.22         -0.72         115.1           April         117.6         63.30         9.83         125.9           May         166.8         77.50         16.58         171.2           June         163.8         80.70         20.21         160.4           July         172.4         79.98         22.71         162.9           September         104.7         51.60         16.21         119.7           October         65.1         35.03         9.06         84.5           November         35.7         22.20         0.55         53.2           December         27.9         17.98         42.7         10.75	GlobEff WWh/m <sup>3</sup> 54.3 76.6 110.4 120.9 164.4 153.7 167.1 156.7 114.9 80.6 50.3 41.1
January         36.9         22.32         -5.34         57.5           February         56.8         30.52         -4.86         80.4           March         94.6         50.22         0.72         115.1           April         117.6         63.30         9.83         125.9           May         166.8         77.50         16.58         171.2           June         163.8         80.70         20.21         160.4           July         172.4         79.98         22.71         152.9           September         104.7         51.60         16.21         119.7           October         65.1         35.03         9.06         84.5           November         35.7         22.20         0.55         53.2           December         27.9         17.98         4.57         43.9           Year         1193.5         680.47         8.69         1348.8	GiobEff WWh/m <sup>3</sup> 54.3 76.6 110.4 120.9 164.4 153.7 167.1 156.7 114.9 80.6 50.3 41.1 1290.9
January         36.9         22.32         -5.34         57.5           February         56.8         30.52         -4.86         80.           March         94.6         50.22         0.72         115.1           April         117.6         63.30         9.83         125.9           May         166.8         77.50         16.58         171.2           June         163.8         80.70         20.21         160.4           July         172.4         79.98         22.71         174.1           August         151.3         69.13         22.13         162.9           September         104.7         51.60         16.21         119.7           October         65.1         35.03         9.06         84.5           November         35.7         22.20         0.55         53.2           December         27.9         17.08         4.57         43.9           Year         1193.5         680.47         8.69         1348.8	GlobEff WWh/m <sup>3</sup> 54.3 76.6 110.4 120.9 164.4 153.7 167.1 156.7 114.9 80.6 50.3 41.1 1290.9 GlobEff
January         36.9         22.32         -5.24         57.5           Pebruary         56.8         30.52         -4.86         80.4           March         94.6         50.22         0.72         115.1           April         117.6         63.30         9.83         125.9           May         166.8         77.50         16.58         171.2           June         163.8         80.70         20.21         160.4           July         172.4         79.08         22.71         174.1           August         151.3         69.13         22.13         162.9           September         104.7         51.60         16.21         119.7           October         65.1         35.03         9.06         84.5           November         35.7         22.20         0.55         53.2           December         27.9         17.08         4.57         43.9           Year         1193.5         600.47         8.69         1348.8	GlobEff WWh/m <sup>3</sup> 54.3 76.6 110.4 120.9 164.4 153.7 167.1 156.7 114.9 80.6 50.3 41.1 1290.9 GlobEff EArray
January         36.9         22.32         -5.24         57.5           Pebruary         56.8         30.52         -4.86         80.4           March         94.6         50.22         0.72         115.1           April         117.6         63.30         9.83         125.9           May         166.8         77.50         16.58         171.2           June         163.8         80.70         20.21         160.4           July         172.4         79.98         22.71         174.1           August         151.3         69.13         22.13         162.9           September         104.7         51.60         16.21         119.7           October         65.1         35.03         9.06         84.5           November         35.7         22.20         0.55         53.2           December         27.9         17.98         45.57         43.9           Year         1193.5         600.47         8.69         1348.8	GlobEff WWh/m <sup>3</sup> 54.3 76.6 110.4 120.9 164.4 153.7 167.1 156.7 114.9 80.6 \$0.3 41.1 1290.9 GlobEff EArray E_Grid
January         36.9         22.32         -5.24         57.5           February         56.8         30.52         -4.86         80.4           March         94.6         50.22         0.72         115.1           April         117.6         63.30         9.83         125.9           May         166.8         77.50         16.58         171.2           June         163.8         80.70         20.21         160.4           July         172.4         79.98         22.71         115.9           August         151.3         69.13         22.13         162.9           September         104.7         51.60         16.21         119.7           October         65.1         35.03         9.06         84.5           November         35.7         22.30         0.55         53.2           December         27.9         17.98         4.57         43.9           Year         1193.5         600.47         8.69         1348.8	GlobEff WWh/m <sup>3</sup> 54.3 76.6 110.4 120.9 164.4 153.7 167.1 156.7 114.4 80.6 50.3 41.1 1290.9 GlobEff EArray E_Grid

Figure 5. Simulation results for power generation by a solar power station.

The simulation results indicate that the most productive period for the production of alternative energy is from June to August.

The average energy obtained through this period is 4.20 kW per day, the average loss per day is 0.92 kW. In winter, efficiency decreases but does not disappear.

The least favorable period for alternative energy production is the period from November to January. The amount of energy received is 1.6 kW per day, the average loss per day is 0.15 kW. The traction substation was modeled taking into account all climatic conditions of Kharkiv region. The results of calculations of the shading factor at different times of the year are shown in Figure 6.



Figure 6. Seasonal shading factor

The greatest shading of solar panels occurs in the period from November to March, when it is about 40% of daylight, and the smallest shading of solar panels is observed from April to September – up to 15%.

#### **5.** Conclusions

The current preconditions for the use of electricity obtained from non-traditional sources for power supply to non-traction consumers have been analyzed.

Having summed up studying the joint work of the auxiliary consumption of the traction substation with non-traditional energy sources, we have:

- calculated the number of solar panels and their ability to supply auxiliary power of the traction substation;
- modeled alternative energy production and defined shading factor for each season;
- determined that the total energy obtained from alternative sources is 13.92 MW per year, which is sufficient to compensate power consumption of the traction substation.

#### References

- http://zakon4.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/601-17 [Elektronnyi resyrs], Zakon Ukrainy, shchodo [1] vstanovlennya «Zelenogo» taryfu
- [2] Analiz roboti gospodarstva elektrifikacii ta elektropostachannja v 2013 roci. – K. : TOV «VD «Manufaktura», 2014. - 256 s.
- [3] Sychenko V, Bondar O and Prykhoda M 2015 Analysis of the solar generation influence on the operation of traction substations on electrified railways Lighting Eng. and Power Eng. 1 10-7.
- [4] Kovalev O.P. Vozobnovljaemye istochniki jenergii i jenergoobespechenie avtonomnyh potrebitelej // Trudy DVGTU. Vyp. 134. Teplojenergetika. – Vladivostok: Izd-vo DVGTU, 2003. – S. 16 – 20.
- [5] Requirements for wind and solar photovoltaic power plants for connection to external electrical networks http://www.uself.com.ua/fileadmin/documents/Wind\_and\_Solar\_PV\_Tech\_Req\_\_Final\_\_Ve

rsion\_Ukrainian.pdf

- Pastushenko M 2013 Prospects for implementing renewable energy sources on Ukrainian [6] railways En Sav. Power Eng. En. Audit 12 45-51
- JKM305M-60 Jinko Solar Eagle PERC 60 [7] Solar panels https://7vz.com/ua/product/polikristallicheskiy modul jinko solar eagle perc 60 jkm305m 60/
- Alokhin, V.A. Sleepy energy fields (in Russian) / V.A. Sleepy energy fields. Alokhin // Zvistki [8] Tul'skogo power university. Technical sciences. - 2013. - No. 12. - C. 3 8.
- [9] Poliakh O, Kuhaienko J and Reshetniak T Opportunities for using alternative sources of energy for auxiliary power consumption of a traction substation 2016 Proc. IX Int. Sc.-Pr. Conf. TRANSELECTRO-2016 (Dnipro) (Dnipro: DNURT) p. 26
- [10] Goncharov J, Sychenko V, Bosyi D, Pastushenko M and Kosarev E 2014 Increasing efficiency of traction energy operation when using renewable sources of energy Problemy Kolejnictwa **162** 65-82